iii. has the Diwan Peishkar made any remarks thereon ;

iv. will the Government be pleased to place on the table a copy of that memorial with the Diwan Peishkar's remarks ;

v. was the memorial duly placed before His Highness the Maharaja and orders obtained ;

vi. was any action taken therein, and was any reply given to Mr. Anantarama Ayyar ?

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—

i. Yes.

ii. Yes

iii. Yes.

iv. A copy of the memorial is placed on the Council Secretary's table for reference.

v. The Government regret that questions regarding correspondence between His Highness and the Diwan cannot be answered.

vi. Action was taken, but no reply was given.

190. Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—

i. What is the general principle adopted in the matters of drafting leave notifications for publication in the Gazette ?

ii. Are not the officers' designation and the nature and extent of leave applied for alone published in the Gazette ?

iii. Was the same procedure followed in publishing notifications relating to Mr. Anantarama Ayyar's leave ? Was not his pay also shown in the notification ; (for instance, see notification dated 25th Dhanu 1099, L. L. D., published on page 230 of the Gazette, dated 28th Dhanu 1099) ?

iv. Was there any special reason to specify his pay also in Mr. Anantarama Ayyar's case ?

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—

i. There is no hard and fast rule in the matter.

ii. No.

iii. Yes.

iv. No.

191. Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(1) when defalcation to the extent of Rs. 2,000 took place in the Mukundapuram Treasury; what was the punishment given to the Tahsildar who was in charge of the Treasury ;

(2) when a large sum of money amounting to 3 or 4 thousands of rupees was found misappropriated in the Trichur Taluk Office, how was the Tahsildar dealt with in that case ;

(3) when was Mr. Anantarama Ayyar confirmed as an

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly